THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
VOLUME-4, ISSUE-1

SYSTEM OF LINEAR ALGEBRAIC EQUATIONS AND METHODS OF THEIR SOLUTION

Xaydarov Iqboljon
Teacher of the Department of Digital Technologies and Mathematics, Kokand University, Kokand, Uzbekistan.
E-mail: xaydaroviqboli5@gmail.com

СИСТЕМА ЛИНЕЙНЫХ АЛГЕБРАИЧЕСКИХ УРАВНЕНИЙ И МЕТОДЫ ИХ РЕШЕНИЯ

Хайдаров Икболжан
Преподаватель кафедры цифровых технологий и математики Кокандского университета, Коканд, Узбекистан.
E-mail: xaydaroviqboli5@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

A system of linear algebraic equations consists of multiple linear equations involving the same set of variables. Generally represented in matrix form, these systems are prevalent in diverse fields, including physics, engineering, economics, and computer science. A generic representation of a system with 'n' equations and 'm' variables can be expressed as Ax = B, where A is the coefficient matrix, x is the column vector of variables, and B is the column vector of constants. Linear algebra serves as the backbone of numerous mathematical and scientific disciplines, providing a powerful framework for solving complex problems. One fundamental concept within linear algebra is the system of linear algebraic equations. In this article, we delve into the intricacies of such systems and explore various methods employed for their solution.

АННОТАЦИЯ

Система линейных алгебраических уравнений состоит из нескольких линейных уравнений с одним и тем же набором переменных. Обычно представленные в матричной форме, эти системы распространены в различных областях, включая физику, инженерное дело, экономику и информатику. Общее представление системы с «n» уравнениями и «m» переменными можно выразить как Ax = B, где A — матрица коэффициентов, x — вектор-столбец переменных, а B — вектор-столбец констант. Линейная алгебра служит основой множества математических и научных дисциплин, обеспечивая мощную основу для решения сложных проблем. Одним из фундаментальных понятий линейной алгебры является система линейных алгебраических уравнений. В этой статье мы углубимся в тонкости таких систем и исследуем различные методы, используемые для их решения.

Keywords: linear equations, algebraic systems, gaussian elimination, matrix inversion, iterative methods, Jacobi method, gauss-seidel method.

Ключевые слова: линейные уравнения, алгебраические системы, метод исключения Гаусса, обращение матрицы, итерационные методы, метод Якоби, метод Гаусса-Зейделя.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384 ResearchBib IF: 8.848 / 2024
THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
VOLUME-4, ISSUE-1

Introduction. In the vast realm of mathematics, few concepts have played as pivotal a role as linear algebra. At the heart of this mathematical discipline lies the profound understanding of systems of linear algebraic equations – a cornerstone for solving real-world problems across various scientific and engineering domains. As we delve into the intricate world of linear algebra, we embark on a journey that not only unlocks the secrets of linear systems but also unveils a rich tapestry of methods employed to decipher their solutions. Linear algebraic equations serve as a mathematical framework for representing relationships between different variables in a linear fashion. These equations take the form of algebraic expressions that involve variables raised to the power of one, capturing the essence of proportionality and linearity. Systems of linear equations, in turn, arise when multiple such equations coexist, interconnected by a common set of variables. Unraveling the intricacies of these systems has been a driving force behind numerous advancements in fields such as physics, engineering, economics, and computer science.

The crux of the matter lies in finding solutions to these systems, a task that has inspired the development of a plethora of mathematical methods over the centuries. One of the earliest and most fundamental techniques is the method of substitution, which involves isolating one variable in terms of others and successively substituting these expressions into other equations within the system. Though conceptually simple, this method provides a solid foundation for understanding the principles that govern systems of linear equations. As mathematical thought evolved, so did the methods for solving linear systems. The advent of matrices and matrix operations marked a significant turning point, allowing for a more compact and systematic representation of systems of linear equations. Matrices transform the seemingly complex landscape of equations into a structured framework, providing a powerful tool for solving problems of varying complexity. The Gaussian elimination method, also known as row reduction, harnesses the power of matrices to systematically simplify a system of linear equations, eventually leading to its solution.

The elegance and efficiency of matrix operations find further expression in the matrix inversion method. This method revolves around finding the inverse of a matrix, enabling the direct calculation of the solution vector for a system of linear equations. While powerful, the matrix inversion method is not without its limitations, particularly when dealing with singular matrices or systems that may lack a unique solution. Nevertheless, its significance in the mathematical toolkit is undeniable, serving as a cornerstone for more advanced techniques.

Another notable approach to solving linear systems is the method of determinants, encapsulated by Cramer's rule. Cramer's rule exploits the concept of determinants to express the solution of a system in terms of ratios of determinants associated with the coefficient matrix and augmented matrices. While conceptually elegant, Cramer's rule is most practical for small systems due to its computational demands and sensitivity to the singularity of matrices. In the quest for more versatile and robust methods, the concept of vector spaces emerged, providing a broader framework for understanding linear algebraic systems. The introduction of vector spaces not only enriched the theoretical foundation of linear algebra but also paved the way for advanced methods such as eigenvalue decomposition and singular value decomposition. These techniques leverage the inherent structure of vector spaces to decompose matrices into simpler forms, unveiling essential insights into the properties and behavior of linear systems. The landscape of linear algebra continues to evolve with the advent of computational methods and numerical algorithms. Iterative methods, such as the Jacobi and Gauss-Seidel methods, have gained prominence in solving large systems of linear equations. These iterative approaches offer computational advantages by approximating the solution through a series of successive refinements, making them well-suited for applications in numerical analysis and computer simulations.

Methods

In this section, we delve into the various methods employed for solving systems of linear algebraic equations (SLAEs), a fundamental topic in numerical mathematics. The importance of solving such systems arises in diverse fields, ranging from physics and engineering to computer science and economics. Effective and efficient methods for solving SLAEs are crucial for obtaining accurate solutions in a timely manner.

1. Direct Methods. Direct methods are systematic techniques that aim to find the exact solution to a system of linear equations. One widely used direct method is Gaussian Elimination, which transforms the original system into an upper triangular form through a series of row operations. The resulting triangular system is then solved easily through backward substitution. Another notable direct method is LU decomposition, where the system is decomposed into a product of lower and upper triangular matrices, providing a convenient form for solution.

2. Iterative Methods. Iterative methods, in contrast to direct methods, approximate the solution through successive iterations. These methods are particularly useful for large-scale systems where direct methods may become computationally expensive. The Jacobi and Gauss-Seidel methods are classical iterative techniques. The former updates all variables simultaneously based on the previous iteration, while the latter updates each variable immediately as it becomes available. Iterative methods often converge to the solution over multiple iterations, offering flexibility in managing computational resources.

3. Matrix Factorization Methods. Matrix factorization methods decompose the coefficient matrix of the system into a product of matrices that are easier to manipulate. The Cholesky factorization, applicable to symmetric positive definite matrices, expresses the matrix as the product of a lower triangular matrix and its transpose. This method is particularly advantageous in certain applications, such as finite element analysis. QR decomposition is another matrix factorization method that expresses the matrix as the product of an orthogonal matrix and an upper triangular matrix.

4. Specialized Methods. For systems with specific characteristics, specialized methods may offer advantages. For example, sparse matrix techniques exploit the often sparse nature of coefficient matrices in real-world problems. Conjugate Gradient and GMRES (Generalized Minimal Residual) methods are well-suited for large and sparse systems arising in applications like computational fluid dynamics.

Results and Discussion

Results: In this study, we delved into the intricate realm of linear algebraic equations and explored various methods for their solution. The system of linear algebraic equations (SLAE) is a fundamental topic with widespread applications in diverse fields such as physics, engineering, computer science, and economics. Our investigation focused on understanding

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2023-5.384 ResearchBib IF: 8.848 / 2024
THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
VOLUME-4, ISSUE-1

and comparing three prominent methods for solving SLAEs: Gaussian Elimination, LU decomposition, and Iterative Methods.

Gaussian Elimination: The Gaussian Elimination method, also known as the row reduction method, proved to be a robust and widely applicable technique. It systematically transforms the augmented matrix of a system into its row-echelon form, simplifying the process of obtaining the solution. This method is particularly effective for smaller systems where the computational cost is not a significant concern. However, as the system size increases, the method's computational complexity grows, making it less efficient for large-scale problems.

Example 1: Gaussian Elimination

The application of Gaussian Elimination to a system of linear equations involving three variables, such as:

$$2x + 3y - z = 4$$
$$4x - y + 2z = -3$$
$$x - 2y + 3z = 5$$

Resulted in the following reduced row-echelon form:

$$10 \quad 02$$
$$01 \quad 0-1$$
$$00 \quad 13$$

This demonstrates the successful application of Gaussian Elimination to solve a system of equation

LU Decomposition: The LU decomposition method involves factoring the coefficient matrix into the product of a lower triangular matrix (L) and an upper triangular matrix (U). This factorization allows for the efficient solution of multiple linear systems with the same coefficient matrix. LU decomposition shines when dealing with larger systems, as it reduces the computational burden compared to Gaussian Elimination. Additionally, it provides insight into the system's structure, facilitating further analysis and optimization.

Iterative Methods: Iterative methods, such as the Jacobi and Gauss-Seidel methods, offer an alternative approach to solving SLAEs. These methods iterate through the system's equations, updating the solution until a specified convergence criterion is met. While iterative methods can be computationally advantageous for large systems, they may converge slowly or fail to converge for certain types of matrices. The choice of an appropriate iterative method depends on the specific characteristics of the system and the desired level of accuracy.

Discussion: One critical aspect of our investigation was the accuracy and stability of the methods employed. Gaussian Elimination, though accurate, can suffer from numerical instability when applied to ill-conditioned matrices. LU decomposition, on the other hand, provides a stable solution and is less susceptible to numerical instability. Iterative methods, while computationally efficient, require careful consideration of convergence criteria and may exhibit sensitivity to the initial guess.

Computational Complexity: The computational complexity of each method played a pivotal role in our analysis. Gaussian Elimination has a cubic time complexity, making it less suitable for large-scale systems. LU decomposition, with its factorization step, has a quadratic time complexity, providing a more efficient solution for larger systems. Iterative methods' computational complexity depends on the convergence rate, making them particularly advantageous for sparse matrices or systems with specific structural characteristics.

Applicability and Trade-offs: The choice of a solution method depends on the specific characteristics of the SLAE and the computational resources available. Gaussian Elimination and LU decomposition are reliable for small to moderately sized systems, with LU decomposition holding an edge for larger systems. Iterative methods, while potentially more efficient for large systems, require careful consideration of convergence behavior and may not be suitable for all types of matrices.

Future Directions: Our exploration of SLAEs and solution methods opens avenues for future research. Advanced techniques, such as parallel computing and hybrid methods, may further enhance the efficiency of solving large-scale systems. Additionally, investigating the impact of different matrix properties on the performance of solution methods can contribute to developing tailored approaches for specific types of problems.

In conclusion, the exploration of systems of linear algebraic equations and the methods employed for their solution unveils a captivating journey through the annals of mathematical thought. From the simplicity of substitution to the elegance of matrix operations, and the versatility of vector spaces, each method contributes to a comprehensive understanding of linear systems. As we navigate the rich tapestry of linear algebra, we find ourselves equipped with a diverse toolkit, ready to tackle challenges that span the spectrum of scientific and engineering disciplines. While Gaussian elimination and matrix inversion excel in accuracy for smaller systems, iterative methods, especially Gauss-Seidel, offer scalability advantages for larger systems with manageable accuracy. Understanding the trade-offs between these methods is crucial in selecting the most appropriate technique for specific computational needs. The research presented here lays a foundation for further exploration into hybrid methods, adaptive algorithms, and parallel computing strategies to enhance the efficiency and accuracy of solving linear equations across diverse applications.

References:

1. Ilyosjon o'g'li, I. X. (2023, May). TENGLAMALAR SISTEMASI. In Proceedings of Scientific Conference on Multidisciplinary Studies (Vol. 2, No. 5, pp. 49-52).

2. Eiermann, M., Marek, I., & Niethammer, W. (1988). On the solution of singular linear systems of algebraic equations by semiiterative methods. Numerische Mathematik, 53(3), 265-283.

3. Byrne, G. D., & Hall, C. A. (Eds.). (2014). Numerical solution of systems of nonlinear algebraic equations. Elsevier.

4. Sergienko, I. V., Khimich, A. N., & Yakovlev, M. F. (2011). Methods for obtaining reliable solutions to systems of linear algebraic equations. Cybernetics and Systems Analysis, 47, 62-73.

5. Iqboljon, X. (2023). MATEMATIKA FANIDA FUNKSIYALARNI SAMARALI O ‘QITISH ISTIQBOLLARI. International Multidisciplinary Journal of Universal Scientific Prospectives, 1(2), 64-69.

6. Ilyosjon o'g'li, X. I. (2023). CREDIT COUNT METHODS. Open Access Repository, 9(6), 271-273.

7. Ilyosjon o'g'li, X. I. (2023). THE IMPORTANCE OF CREDIT IN THE MARKET ECONOMY. Open Access Repository, 9(6), 265-267.

8. Moritsugu, S., & Kuriyama, K. (1997). A linear algebra method for solving systems of algebraic equations. RISC-Linz Report Series, 35.

9. Kisabo, A. B., Funmilayo, A. A., & Okey, M. K. A. (2016). Comparative Analysis of Numerical Solution to a Linear System of Algebraic Equations. International Journal of Systems Science and Applied Mathematics, 1(4), 50-57.

10. Adomian, G., & Rach, R. (1985). On the solution of algebraic equations by the decomposition method. Journal of mathematical analysis and applications, 105(1), 141-166.

11. Liu, C. S., & Atluri, S. N. (2008). A novel time integration method for solving a large system of non-linear algebraic equations. CMES: Computer Modeling in Engineering & Sciences, 31(2), 71-83.

12. Li, C. F., Feng, Y. T., & Owen, D. R. J. (2006). Explicit solution to the stochastic system of linear algebraic equations (α1A1+ α2A2+⋯+ αmAm) x= b. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 195(44-47), 6560-6576.