

**Mamatova Mavluda Toirovna**

*Navoi State Pedagogical Institute, History, Basics of Additional State Law  
3rd level student*

**Abstract:** *The study of history is a complex and multifaceted discipline that relies heavily on the examination and interpretation of sources. However, the process of source studies and historiography is fraught with numerous challenges and controversies that can significantly impact our understanding of the past. This article will delve into the issues of source studies and historiography, highlighting the importance of critically evaluating sources, the role of historical context, the impact of bias and perspective, and the complexities of historical representation.*

**Keywords:** *history, historiography, challenges, resources, historical places and events, historical-cultural processes*

**Introduction:** In the study of the history of Uzbekistan, the order and importance of sources are of great importance. They are considered the cultural and historical wealth of our people and are avidly and carefully stored in special archives, libraries and museums. The narrative of historical events and phenomena inherited from generation to generation through folk oral creativity has reached us on the basis of intangible culture. Consequently, it is through historical sources and monuments that the possibilities of covering history expand - to obtain historical data and evidence, to compare and summarize them, to draw scientifically based conclusions based on historical-cultural processes and analysis of the causes and consequences, content and essence of the event. To put it more simply, it is impossible to create a true history without the use of certain sources and their generalization.

Historical sources can be divided into the following five main categories, depending on their general nature, their reflection on the past:

1. Material or archaeological sources. It is known that the peculiarity of the history of the earliest period is that it was not illuminated through written sources. The main data, which is indicative of the distant past of mankind, are material (material) sources, all of which were created by people, who found an important place in human life and activity. Most of the material source is stored underground in the cultural layers of the archaeological monument, and they are found and examined through archaeological excavation work. Material resources include finds associated with human lifestyle, material culture and production, namely stone, bone, metal work and battle weapons, hand and hammer pottery, pottery - urchukbashi, ceramic lamp and ancient ornaments, art objects, coins and other finds. Material sources are of immense importance for the restoration of our history in times without writing. They are found as a result of the study of archaeological monuments - places where people lived, the oldest cave-spaces, ancient settlements, village and City Ruins, rock paintings, craft workshops, fortifications, temples, ancient irrigation structures, mines where raw materials for production were mined.

2. Written sources are important and fundamental to the historical source, they occupy an important place in the study of the history of the region as a resource created as a result of human social activity, interaction and reflecting socio-political events that occurred in those times. They include epigraphic monuments, i.e. inscriptions on stone, metal, bone, wood, leather, and pottery,

"Avesto", cuneiform inscriptions, manuscripts on parchment and paper, medieval buildings, and inscriptions on tombstones of ancient and medieval authors. Written sources, in turn, are divided into two types: 1) official documents that came out of the Queen of the Supreme and local rulers (labels, decrees, graces, etc.), financial statements notebooks, official correspondence; 2) Historical and Bibliographic works.

The study of the historical source itself is a special field of historical science and is of great importance in the development of this science and in the writing of history. Currently, the science of sourcing is widely developed. It relies on the methodological scientific-theoretical methods outlined above.

The science of sourcing is mainly concerned with the study of historical sources, which categorize the value of sourcing, as well as determining whether it is real or not. It is divided into such areas as the identification and sorting of historical sources, the determination of their scientific value, analysis and the study of the history, conditions of creation.

Sources reflected historical processes and realities must be an example of historicism. It is then that they have a high importance and historical value, which helps closely to bring historical reality to the surface.

3. Ethnographic sources. The word "ethnography" is derived from the Greek language (Ethnos - folk, grapho - I write), which means "information about the people, folk studies". Information related to the origin of peoples, such as Folk, Tribal and seed names, weapons and objects created by human hands, intelligence, patterns and ornaments, information preserved in the minds of people, as well as in oral and written literature (traditions, customs), is an ethnographic source of people's lifestyle.

4. Linguistic sources. Derived from the Latin word "lingua" - language. Socio-economic, administrative and legal terms from the distant past in our language, its lexical composition: chokar (in the early Middle Ages, a large landowner's estate guarded his soul and protected him from internal and external enemy), kashovarz (a simple hardworking member of rural communities), kadivar (a ziroatkor resident of a village who became dependent on large landowners); vagnze (synagogue-owned lands) and etc.; place, people, tribe and seed names: friend, Bell, manghit, jaloir, repression, ming, chigatoy, etc. are common. These and similar terms are undoubtedly valuable historical material and help in the study of the socio-political life of our ancestors in the distant past. Their origins and etymology are explored by the science of linguistics.

At the forefront of historical inquiry is the examination of sources, which can include written documents, oral testimonies, visual artifacts, and material evidence. However, the reliability and authenticity of these sources are often called into question, as they may be subject to biases, inaccuracies, and intentional manipulation. Historians must therefore exercise extreme caution when evaluating sources, taking into account the author's intent, the historical context in which the source was created, and the potential for distortion or fabrication.

One of the most significant challenges in source studies is the problem of bias. Historical sources are often created with a specific agenda or perspective, which can influence the representation of events and individuals. For instance, many historical accounts of colonialism and imperialism were written from the perspective of the colonizers, portraying Native Americans and other indigenous peoples as "savages" and "barbarians." These biased accounts have contributed to a distorted view of history, perpetuating harmful stereotypes and reinforcing systemic injustices.

## THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

### VOLUME-3, ISSUE-4

It is essential for historians to recognize and acknowledge these biases, taking care to contextualize and critically analyze sources to uncover a more nuanced understanding of the past.

In addition to bias, the historical context in which sources were created must also be taken into account. Historical events and processes are shaped by a complex array of social, cultural, economic, and political factors, which can significantly impact the creation and interpretation of sources. For example, many historical accounts of the American Civil War were written during the Reconstruction era, a time marked by deep-seated racial tensions and political upheaval. As such, these accounts may reflect the author's own biases and assumptions, rather than providing an objective representation of the conflict. Historians must be aware of these contextual factors, carefully evaluating sources within the broader historical context in which they were created.

Another challenge in source studies is the issue of representation. Historical sources often provide a one-dimensional portrayal of the past, focusing on the experiences and perspectives of dominant groups while marginalizing or erasing those of subaltern groups. This can result in a skewed understanding of history, neglecting the contributions and experiences of women, people of color, and other marginalized communities. To combat this, historians must adopt a more inclusive approach to source studies, actively seeking out diverse perspectives and experiences to provide a more nuanced and comprehensive understanding of the past.

Furthermore, the issue of representation is closely tied to the role of perspective in historical inquiry. Historians are not passive recipients of historical information, but rather active participants in the construction of historical narratives. As such, their own biases, assumptions, and perspectives can influence the interpretation and representation of sources. To mitigate this, historians must engage in reflexive practice, recognizing and acknowledging their own positionality and the ways in which it shapes their research. This includes acknowledging the limitations of their own knowledge and experiences, as well as the power dynamics at play in the production of historical knowledge.

Finally, the complexities of historical representation pose a significant challenge to source studies and historiography. Historical events and processes are often messy and multifaceted, defying simplistic or binary representations. However, the dominant narratives of history, perpetuated through popular media and educational institutions, often present a sanitized and simplified version of the past. This can result in a distorted understanding of history, neglecting the complexities and nuances of historical events. To combat this, historians must adopt a more nuanced approach to historical representation, acknowledging the complexities and ambiguities of the past and embracing the diversity of human experiences.

#### **Conclusion.**

In conclusion, the study of history is fraught with numerous challenges and controversies, particularly in the realm of source studies and historiography. Historians must exercise extreme caution when evaluating sources, recognizing the potential for bias, distortion, and manipulation. They must also take into account the historical context in which sources were created, acknowledging the impact of bias and perspective on the representation of the past. Furthermore, historians must adopt a more inclusive and reflexive approach to source studies, actively seeking out diverse perspectives and acknowledging their own positionality and biases. By doing so, we can work towards a more nuanced and comprehensive understanding of the past, one that acknowledges the complexities and ambiguities of human experiences.

#### **REFERENCES:**

## THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

### VOLUME-3, ISSUE-4

1. Z. Islamov. *State and law: General theoretical issues*. - T.: "Adolat", 2000.
2. *Theory of state and law. Textbook. Prof. Under the general editorship of H. Odil-Koriyev*. T.: "Sharq", 2009.
3. Saidov, U. Tajikhonov. *"Fundamentals of the state and law">*. T.: "Uzbekistan", 1999.
4. N. M. Muhamedov et al. *History of the state and law of Uzbekistan*. - T.: "Image", 2009.
5. *A new history of Central Asia. Revaluation of history, modern problems and approaches // Proceedings of the international scientific regional conference*. - Tashkent, 2004.
6. Pershits A.I., Mongayt A.L. Alekseev V.P. *The history of primitive society*. - M.: Higher School, 1968.
7. Rasulov A., Isoqboyev A. *Tarikh fanning ilmiy tadkikot asoslari va uslubiyoti*. - - Namangan, 2008.